







EBL with GRB and Blazars

Joel Primack

with Rudy Gilmore, Alberto Dominguez, & Rachel Somerville







The EBL is very difficult to observe directly because of foregrounds, especially the zodiacal light. Reliable lower limits are obtained by integrating the light from observed galaxies. The best upper limits come from (non-) attenuation of gamma rays from distant blazars, but these are uncertain because of the unknown emitted spectrum of these blazars.

This talk concerns both the optical-IR EBL relevant to attenuation of TeV gamma rays, and also the UV EBL relevant to attenuation of gamma rays from very distant sources observed by *Fermi* and low-threshold ground-based ACTs.

Just as IR light penetrates dust better than shorter wavelengths, so lower energy gamma rays penetrate the EBL better than higher energy, resulting in a softer observed gammaray spectrum from more distant sources.

log dN_y/dE

log E

PILLAR OF STAR BIRTH Carina Nebula in UV Visible Light

WFC3/UVIS

PILLAR OF STAR BIRTH Carina Nebula in IR Light

Longer wavelength light penetrates the dust better

Longer wavelength gamma rays also penetrate the EBL better



Gamma Ray Attenuation due to γγ □ e+e-



If we know the intrinsic spectrum, we can infer the optical depth $(\underline{\Gamma}, z)$ from the observed spectrum. In practice, we assume that $dN/dE|_{int}$ is not harder than $E^{-\Gamma}$ with $\Gamma = 1.5$, since local sources have $\Gamma \ge 2$.

Three approaches to calculate the EBL:

Backward Evolution, which starts with the existing galaxy population and evolves it backward in time -- e.g., Stecker, Malkan, & Scully 2006. Dangerous!

Evolution Inferred from Observations -- e.g., Kneiske et al. 2002; Franceschini et al. 2008; Dominguez, Primack, et al. in prep. using AEGIS data.

Forward Evolution, which begins with cosmological initial conditions and models gas cooling, formation of galaxies including stars and AGN, feedback from these phenomena, and light absorption and re-emission by dust.

All methods currently require modeling galactic SEDs. Forward Evolution requires semi-analytic models (SAMs) based on cosmological simulations.

Backward Evolution



Evolution Inferred from Observations



Evolution Inferred from Observations

T. M. Kneiske et al.: Implications of cosmological gamma-ray absorption. II. 2004



Evolution Inferred from Observations



Evolution Inferred from Observations Using AEGIS Multiwavelength Data

Alberto Dominguez et al. (in prep.)



Evolution Inferred from Observations Using AEGIS Multiwavelength Data

Alberto Dominguez et al. (in prep.)



Forward Evolution

When we first tried doing this (Primack & MacMinn 1996), both the stellar initial mass function (IMF) and the values of the cosmological parameters were quite uncertain. After 1998, the cosmological model was known to be ACDM although it was still necessary to consider various cosmological parameters in models. Now the parameters are known rather precisely, and my report here is based on a semi-analytic model (SAM) using the current (WMAP5) cosmological parameters. With improved simulations and better galaxy data, we can now normalize SAMs better and determine the key astrophysical processes to include in them.

There is still uncertainty whether the IMF evolves, possibly becoming "top-heavy" at higher redshifts (Fardal et al. 2007, Dave 2008), and uncertainty concerning the nature of sub-mm galaxies and the feedback from AGN.

z=5.7 (t=1.0 Gyr)

31.25 Mpc/h

1:25 Mpc

31.25 Mpc/

Forward Evolution







Present status of ΛCDM "Double Dark" theory:

 cosmological parameters are now well constrained by observations



 mass accretion history of dark matter halos is represented by 'merger trees' like the one at left

Thursday, March 25, 2010

Springel et al. 2005



Galaxy Formation in ACDM

- gas is collisionally heated when perturbations 'turn around' and collapse to form gravitationally bound structures
- gas in halos cools via atomic line transitions (depends on density, temperature, and metallicity)
- cooled gas collapses to form a rotationally supported disk
- cold gas forms stars, with efficiency a function of gas density (e.g. Schmidt-Kennicutt Law)
- massive stars and SNae reheat (and in small halos expel) cold gas and some metals
- galaxy mergers trigger bursts of star formation; 'major' mergers transform disks into spheroids and fuel AGN
- AGN feedback cuts off star formation

White & Frenk 1991; Kauffmann et al. 1993; Cole et al. 1994; Somerville & Primack 1999; Cole et al. 2000; Somerville, Primack, & Faber 2001; Croton et al. 2006; Somerville et al. 2008; Fanidakis et al. 2009; Somerville, Gilmore, & Primack, in prep.

Some Results from our Semi-Analytic Models



Number Counts in b, i, 3.6 and 24 µm Bands



Somerville, Gilmore, & Primack (in prep.)





Gamma Ray Attenuation Predictions vs. Observational Limits



Predicted Gamma Ray Attenuation at High Redshifts



Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc. 402, 565-574 (2010)



Modelling gamma-ray burst observations by Fermi and MAGIC including attenuation due to diffuse background light Rudy C. Gilmore,^{1*} Francisco Prada²[†] and Joel Primack¹

¹Department of Physics, University of California, Santa Cruz, CA 95064, USA ²Instituto de Astrofísica de Andalucía, CSIC, Apdo. Correos 3004, E-18080 Granada, Spain

ABSTRACT

Gamma rays from extragalactic sources are attenuated by pair-production interactions with diffuse photons of the extragalactic background light (EBL). Gamma-ray bursts (GRBs) are a source of high-redshift photons above 10 GeV, and could be therefore useful as a probe of the evolving ultraviolet background radiation. In this paper, we develop a simple phenomenological model for the number and redshift distribution of GRBs that can be seen at GeV energies with the Fermi satellite and Major Atmospheric Gamma-ray Imaging Cherenkov Telescope (MAGIC) atmospheric Cherenkov telescope. We estimate the observed number of gamma rays per year, and show how this result is modified by considering interactions with different realizations of the evolving EBL. We also discuss the bright Fermi GRB 080916C in the context of this model. We find that the Large Area Telescope on Fermi can be expected to see a small number of photons above 10 GeV each year from distant GRBs. Annual results for ground-based instruments like MAGIC are highly variable due to the low duty cycle and sky coverage of the telescope. However, successfully viewing a bright or intermediate GRB from the ground could provide hundreds of photons from high redshift, which would almost certainly be extremely useful in constraining both GRB physics and the high-redshift EBL.

Fermi highest-energy photons from blazars and GRBs vs. redshift



Fermi Constraints on the Gamma-ray Opacity of the Universe (in prep.)

from the draft **Conclusions**

Using high-energy 11-month photon data set collected by Fermi from distant blazars and GRBs we have (i) constrained the opacity of the universe to rays in the ~10–100 GeV range and coming from various redshifts up to $z \approx 4.35$; and (ii) ruled out an EBL intensity as high as predicted by Stecker et al. (2006) in the optical–ultraviolet range at more than 4 σ in five independent sources, thereby resulting in a > 5 σ rejection significance level in total. Our most constraining results come from blazars J1504+1029, J0808-0751 and J1016+0513 with (z, Emax) combinations of (1.84, 48.9 GeV), (1.84, 46.8 GeV) and (1.71, 43.3 GeV), respectively. The two most constraining GRBs are GRB 090902B and GRB 080916C, both of which rule out the Stecker et al. (2006) EBL models in the UV energy range at more than 3 σ level.

Halos and galaxies: results from the Bolshoi simulation.

Anatoly A. Klypin¹, Sebastian Trujillo-Gomez¹, and Joel Primack² ¹NMSU, ²UCSC

ABSTRACT

Lambda Cold Dark Matter (ACDM) is now the standard theory of structure formation in the Universe. We present the first results from the new Bolshoi dissipationless cosmological Λ CDM simulation that uses cosmological parameters favored by current observations, which imply onethird fewer $10^{12}h^{-1}M_{\odot}$ dark matter halos than the WMAP1 (2003) parameters used in the Millennium simulations. The Bolshoi simulation was done in a volume 250 h^{-1} Mpc on a side using 8 billion particles with mass and force resolution adequate to follow subhalos down to a completeness limit of $V_{\rm circ} = 50 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ maximum circular velocity. Using merger trees derived from analysis of 180 stored time-steps we find the circular velocities of satellites before they fall into their host halos. Using excellent statistics of halos and subhalos (~ 10 million at every moment and ~ 50 million over the whole history) we present accurate approximations for statistics such as the halo mass function, the concentrations for distinct halos and subhalos, abundance of halos as function of their circular velocity, the abundance and the spatial distribution of subhalos. We find that at high redshifts the concentration falls to a minimum of about 3.8 and then rises slightly for higher values of halo mass, a new result. We present approximations for the velocity and mass functions of distinct halos as a function of redshift. We find that while the Sheth-Tormen approximation for the mass function of halos found by spherical overdensity is quite accurate at low redshifts, the ST formula over-predicts the abundance of halos by nearly an order of magnitude by z = 10. We find that the number of subhalos scales with the circular velocity of the host halo as $\sqrt{V_{\text{host}}}$, and that subhalos have nearly the same radial distribution as dark matter particles at radii 0.3-2 times the host halo virial radius. The subhalo velocity function $N(>V_{sub})$ behaves as $V_{\rm circ}^{-3}$. Combining our and Via Lactea-II results, we find that inside the virial radius of halos with $V_{\rm circ} = 200 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ the number of satellites is $N(>V_{\rm sub}) = (V_{\rm sub}/58 \text{ km s}^{-1})^{-3}$ for satellite velocities in the range 4 km s⁻¹ < $V_{\rm sub}$ < 150 km s⁻¹. Finally, we use an abundancematching procedure to assign r-band luminosities to dark matter halos as a function of halo $V_{\rm circ}$, and find that the luminosity-velocity relation is in remarkably good agreement with the observed Tully-Fisher relation for $V_{\rm circ}$ in the range 50-200 km s⁻¹.

The Bolshoi simulation

ART code 250Mpc/h BoxLCDM s8 = 0.83 h = 0.73 8G particles Ikpc/h force resolution I e8 Msun/h mass res

dynamical range 262,000 time-steps = 400,000

NASA AMES supercomputing center Pleiades computer 13824 cores 12TB RAM 75TB disk storage 6M cpu hrs 18 days wall-clock time 250 Mpc/h Bolshoi

250 Mpc/h Bolshoi

BOLSHOI SIMULATION FLY-THROUGH



Halos and galaxies: results from the Bolshoi simulation



The Millennium-I Run (Springel+05) was a landmark simulation, and it has been the basis for ~300 papers. However, it and the new Millennium-II simulations were run using WMAP1 (2003) parameters, and the Millennium-I resolution was inadequate to see many subhalos. The new **Bolshoi** simulation (Klypin, Trujillo & Primack 2010) used the WMAP5 parameters (consistent with WMAP7) and has nearly an order of magnitude better mass and force resolution than Millennium-I. We have now found halos in all 180 stored timesteps, and we have complete merger trees. We are working with Darren Croton, Rachel Somerville, Lauren Porter and Andrew Benson on semi-analytic models of the evolving galaxy population based on Bolshoi, which should give better EBL predictions.









The distribution of mass around 3 massive halos ($M_{FOF} = 10^{11}h^{-1}M_{sun}$) at redshift z = 8.8. Each panel shows 1/2 of the dark matter particles in cubes of 1h–1 Mpc size. The center of each cube is the exact position of the center of mass of the corresponding FOF halo. The effective radius of each FOF halo in the plots is $150 - 200 h^{-1}$ kpc. Circles indicate distinct halos and subhalos identified by the spherical overdensity algorithm BDM. The radius of each circle is equal to the virial radius of the halo. In panel (b) FOF linked together a chain of halos which formed in long dense filaments. This happens often. The numbers in the top-left corner of each panel show the ratio of FOF mass to that of SO. The Sheth-Tormen mass function agrees well with the abundance of halos with FOF masses, but these do not correspond to halos that will host forming galaxies as BDM halos do. Getting this right is important in understanding the new HST/WFC3 data on high-redshift galaxies, and also the reionization of the universe.

Bolshoi simulation - Klypin, Trujillo & Primack 2010 - Appendix B

Wide area + Ultra-deep Observations can be used to more accurately constrain the UV LF at z~7-8

UV Luminosity Functions



Conclusions

Data from (non-)attenuation of gamma rays from AGN and GRBs gives upper limits on the EBL from UV to mid-IR that are ~2x lower limits from observed galaxies. These upper limits now rule out some EBL models and purported observations, with improved data likely to provide even stronger constraints.

EBL calculations based on careful extrapolation from observations and on semi-analytic models are consistent with these lower limits and with the gamma-ray upper limit constraints.

Such comparisons "close the loop" on cosmological galaxy formation models, since they account for all the light, including that from galaxies too faint to see. They can constrain star formation models, including variations in the stellar initial mass function (IMF).